IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC

MONDAY, THE 17TH SEPTEMBER 2007 / 26TH BHADRA 1929

WP(C).No. 26540 of 2007(H)

PETITIONER:

V.VIJAYAN,C/O.ELLICKAL SURESH,
CHOOREPARAMBIL LANE, KALPOR P.O.,
KOCHI-17.

BY ADV. SRI.V.VIJAYAN (PARTY-IN-PERSON)

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. UNION OF INDIA, REPRESENTED BY THE3
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
MINISTRY OF COMMUNICATIONS, NEW DELHI.

2. THE CHIEF POST MASTER GENERAL OF KERALA
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. THE POST MASTER GENERAL, HEAD POST
OFFICE, ERNAKULAM.

4. THE MANAGER, SPEED POST CENTRE,
KOCHI-682 011.

BY ADV. SRI.P.PARAMESWARAN NAIR,ASST.SOLICITOR

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 17/09/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

ANTONY DOMINIC, J.

= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =
W.P.(C) No. 26540 OF 2007 H
= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =

Dated this the 17th September, 2007

J U D G M E N T

This writ petition has been filed by the petitioner for directing the respondents to deliver acknowledgement cards of the postal articles he had sent. According to him, he had sent nine such postal materials and has got only three acknowledgement cards.

2. Learned Asst. Solicitor General, on instruction, submits that the letters sent by the petitioner have been delivered to the addressee and that all the acknowledgement cards have been sent to the petitioner. Although the department maintains documents evidencing delivery of the postal articles, there is no record in respect of the acknowledgement cards that are sent to the senders. In this case also, according to the respondents the acknowledgement cards have been sent. It is submitted that when the petitioner approached the respondents complaining of non-receipt of acknowledgement cards, they verified the records and certificates were issued to the effect that

all the materials sent by the petitioner had been delivered to the addressees. It is also submitted that these certificates have been sent to the petitioner by post which would have reached him by now. According to the respondents certificates that have been issued by them, copy of which has been offered to the petitioner in court, is as good as acknowledgement cards and can be made use of.

In view of this, no further direction is necessary in the writ petition and the writ petition is closed.

ANTONY DOMINIC
JUDGE

jan/-